## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	§	
v.	§	Criminal Action No. 4:04cr88-1
Amer Stanley	<b>§</b>	
	NTING COUNSI Criminal Just	EL PURSUANT TO THE ICE ACT
	pointment of co	dant is in need of adequate representation in the above- ounsel. Accordingly, the Court makes the following 06A):
$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{j}}$	ppointment of	Counsel
X The Federal Public Defender is a	ppointed as cou	nsel for Defendant.
is appointed as counsel.	, a n	nember of the Criminal Justice Act Panel of this District,
	Type of Appoin	ntment
Counsel is appointed to represent 18 USC 3582(c)(2)	the Defendant is	n this motion for sentence reduction pursuant to
	Pending Mot	tions

Recourse Defendant is now represented by counsel the Court de

Because Defendant is now represented by counsel, the Court denies without prejudice any pro se motions seeking a sentence reduction pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 3582(c)(2). Although a defendant has the right to choose between pro se representation or attorney representation, a defendant does not have the right to a combination of both. *United States v. Mikolajczyk*, 137 F.3d 237, 246 (5th Cir. 1998) (citing *United States v. Daniels*, 572 F.2d 535, 540 (5th Cir. 1978)). Any motion seeking a sentence reduction should be made through counsel.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 24th day of January, 2024.

SEAN D. JORDAN / UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE